

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 137–140

A selective and convenient ruthenium mediated method for the synthesis of mixed acetals and orthoesters

Stanisław Krompiec,^{a,*} Robert Penczek,^a Nikodem Kuźnik,^b Jan Grzegorz Małecki^a and Marek Matlengiewicz^a

^a Institute of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry, University of Silesia ul. Szkolna 9, 40-007 Katowice, Poland
^b Faculty of Chamistry, Silesian University of Technology ul. B. Kraywoustage A, 44, ^bFaculty of Chemistry, Silesian University of Technology, ul. B. Krzywoustego 4, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland

> Received 1 September 2006; revised 19 October 2006; accepted 26 October 2006 Available online 16 November 2006

Abstract—Addition of alcohols and phenols to O-allyl compounds (allyl ethers and acrolein acetals), catalyzed by $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)]$ was examined. Intramolecular addition of an OH group, leading to the formation of cyclic acetals and orthoesters, was also investigated. As a result, a new, selective and convenient method for the synthesis of symmetrical and unsymmetrical (mixed) acetals and orthoesters was developed.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Mixed (unsymmetrical) acetals are widely used in synthetic organic chemistry.^{[1–3](#page-2-0)} Also, in the fragrance^{[4,5](#page-2-0)} and pharmaceutical^{[6](#page-3-0)} industries, acetals are used both as intermediates and as end products. Acetals are recog-nized as good carbonyl protecting groups.^{[7,8](#page-3-0)} There are many methods for the synthesis of acetals $9-11$ and ortho-esters^{[12](#page-3-0)} in the literature. However, selective syntheses of mixed acetals of type $RCHOR¹(OR²)$ and orthoesters of type $RC(OR¹)₂(OR²)$ is still difficult. Application of classical methods for the synthesis of these compounds is not satisfactory, as a concomitant transacetalization reaction leads to a mixture of symmetrical and unsymmetrical acetals and orthoesters, which can be difficult to separate. So far, only one report describing a selective method for the preparation of several mixed acetals 13 has been published. These compounds were obtained by reaction of dimethyl (or diethyl) acetals of type $R^1CH(OMe)_2$ with TESOTf and 2,4,6-collidine and then by treating the salt obtained with R^2OH .^{[13](#page-3-0)} A few attempts using transition metal complexes for the synthesis of mixed acetals have not been successful.

Chang obtained various symmetrical and unsymmetrical acetals, in the reactions of $R^{1}OCH_{2}CH=CHR^{2}$ with methanol, catalyzed by cobalt complexes generated

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.10.138

from $[Co_2(CO)_8]$ in the presence of hydrogen and carbon monoxide.[14](#page-3-0) However, the syntheses of unsymmetrical acetals of type EtCH(OMe)(OR) in reactions of allyl ethers with MeOH were very unselective (transacetalization was observed).[14](#page-3-0) A symmetrical diethyl acetal was also obtained by reaction of allyl ether $(n-C₆H₁₃$ - $COCH=CHCH₂OMe)$ with 95% EtOH in the presence of $[RhCl(PPh₃)₃$ ^{[15](#page-3-0)} Moreover, a synthesis of acrolein cyclic acetals via cyclization reactions of allyloxyalcohols of type $RCH=CRCHROCH_2CH(OH)(CH_2)_nH$ (R=H or Me; $n = 0, 1, 2$) catalyzed by 'conventional hydrogenation catalysts' (heterogeneous nickel, plati-num and palladium catalysts) was also reported.^{[16](#page-3-0)}

Herein, we present a new, simple and in most cases, highly selective method for the synthesis of symmetrical and unsymmetrical acetals of type $RCH(OR¹)(OR²)$ and orthoesters of type $RC(OR¹)₂(OR²)$, in an addition reaction of alcohols and phenols to allyl ethers or acrolein acetals, catalyzed by $[RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃]$. The method is a development of our research published earlier^{[17](#page-3-0)} on isomerization of monoallyl ethers of diols.

Addition of phenols and alcohols to allyl ethers of type ROAllyl is a useful and simple method for acetal synthesis, including mixed acetals, see [Scheme 1](#page-1-0) and [Table 1.](#page-1-0) The non-hydride ruthenium complex $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3]$, was an effective precursor of the reaction catalyst. In the presence of hydride complexes, for example, [RuClH- $\overline{(CO)(PPh_3)_3}$ or $\overline{[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3]} + NaBH_4$, mainly, or

Keywords: O-Allyl compounds; Addition; Ruthenium complexes; Mixed acetals; Orthoesters.

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: stanislaw.krompiec@gmail.com

 $[Ru] = [RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃]$ (with or without Na₂CO₃)

Scheme 1. Addition of alcohols or phenols to allyl ethers, catalyzed by $\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)$].

	Table 1. Synthesis of acetals via addition of alcohols or phenols to allyl ethers ^{a,b}												
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

 $Ru¹ = 1$ mol % [RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃]; Ru² = 1 mol % [RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃] + 5 mol % Na₂CO₃; ROAllyl/ROH = 1:1.5; without solvent; 3 h; 120 °C.
^a The reactions were carried out according to the typical procedure

 b Product D was not observed (see Scheme 1).

 \degree Allyl ether conversion determined by ¹H NMR.

 $^{\text{d}}$ All yields were determined by ¹H NMR and GC–MC analyses of crude mixtures.

only isomerization products of allyl ethers (i.e., 1-propenyl ethers) were formed. It was shown that hydride ruthenium complexes, for example, $[RuClH(CO)(PPh₃)₃]$ and generated in situ from non-hydride precursors, (e.g., $\{[RuCl₂(1,5-cod)]_x\}, [RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃]\}$ and hydride ligand donors (e.g., CaH₂, NaBH₄) were very effective catalysts of double bond migration.^{[18–20](#page-3-0)}

Reactions of ROAllyl with alcohols and phenols led to acetals (symmetrical when $R^1 = R^2$, or unsymmetrical when $R^1 \neq R^2$), and sometimes to 1-propenyl ethers (ROAllyl isomerization products). However, separating the isomerization product from the addition product was in most cases easy (distillation). Due to the presence of $Na₂CO₃$ in the catalytic system, we have managed to limit or practically eliminate the transacetalization.

We also ascertained that the reaction in Scheme 1 did not occur for allyl aryl ethers $(R^1 = Ph, m-CIC_6H_4)$, $(p\text{-CH}_3O)C_6H_4$) and when R² was very bulky (R² = t-Bu). It was also interesting to note that addition of ROH to an ether of type $ROCH₂CH=CHR$ was possible, Scheme 2.

We did not observe any transacetalization during this reaction and the isomerized product could be separated from the addition product by simple distillation.

This method for acetal synthesis can also be applied to prepare unsymmetrical orthoesters, Scheme 3. Addition

Scheme 3. Addition of *n*-butanol or *m*-cresol (ROH) to 2-vinyl-1,3dioxane (D) catalyzed by $0.5 \text{ mol } \%$ $[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3] + 5 \text{ mol } \%$ Na_2CO_3 , $D/ROH = 1:1.5$.

of n-butanol or m-cresol to 2-vinyl-1,3-dioxane occurred practically quantitatively, and no formation of other products was observed.[23](#page-3-0)

Addition of an OH group from alcohols or phenols to an O-allyl system (ethers or acetals), can also be carried out as an intramolecular reaction. In the reaction of mono- and diallyl triol ethers in the presence of $[RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃]$, we obtained cyclic acetals as dioxolane and/or dioxane derivatives, [Table 2](#page-2-0).

The mixture of dioxolane and dioxane derivatives, formed in the isomerization reaction of 3-allyloxy-1,2 propandiol, was separated by selective tritylation, then by distillation of 1 and crystallization of 2, [Scheme 4](#page-2-0).

In the case of 2-vinyl-4-hydroxymethyl-4-ethyl-1,3-dioxane, intramolecular addition of the OH group to the allylic system occurred particularly easily, [Scheme 5.](#page-2-0)

Scheme 2. Addition of phenol to *n*-butyl $[(E)-(2-hexeny)]$ ether, catalyzed by 1 mol % $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3] + 5$ mol % Na_2CO_3 .

Table 2. Isomerization of mono- and diallyl ethers of triols to the cyclic acetals^a catalyzed by 0.2–1 mol % $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3]$ ^b

^a Conversion and selectivity were quantitative.

 b Reaction conditions: 120 °C, 2 h, without solvent.

We also investigated the mechanism of OH addition to O-allylic systems, using the model addition reaction of benzyl alcohol to benzyl- α , α -dideuteroallyl ether, Scheme 6. The only products of this reaction were acetals 3 and 4, formed in a 1:1 ratio. We ascertained also that in the presence of $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3]$, addition of ROH $(R=m-Bu$ or Ph) to n-BuOCH=CH₂ did not occur, and 2-vinyloxyethanol did not undergo cyclization. Thus, addition of the OH group (both intra- and intermolecular) to O-vinylic systems did not occur. However, O-(1-propenylic) systems undergo such a reaction, even if significantly slower than O-allylic systems.

The data obtained thus far indicate that the examined reaction is a nucleophilic addition of OH (intra- or inter-

Scheme 4. Separation of the mixture of dioxolane and dioxane. Reagents and conditions: (a) $Ph_3CCl + py$, 5 d, rt; (b) vacuum distillation 1, 60% and (c) Crystallization 2, 52%.

Scheme 5. Intramolecular addition of an OH group to the O-allylic system.

Scheme 7. Proposed sequence of intra- or intermolecular addition of hydroxy group to the double bond in O-allylic systems.

molecular) to a hydrido- π -allyl complex. The complex forms as a result of an oxidative addition of ROAllyl to the non-hydride ruthenium complex, Scheme 7.

In the key stage of the reaction, 2 H-hydrido- π -allyl complex 5 undergoes a nucleophilic attack by the OH group (intra- or intermolecular). The formed ${}^{2}\text{H}, {}^{1}\text{H}-\text{dihydrido}$ - π -alkene complex then undergoes typical transformations leading to 8a and 8b products, and to regeneration of the non-hydride [Ru] complex.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by The State Committee for Scientific Research, Project No. 3 T09A 147 29. N. Kuźnik gratefully acknowledges The Foundation for Polish Science for an annual Grant.

References and notes

- 1. Linderman, R. J.; Chen, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 3819–3822, and references cited therein.
- 2. Hughes, K. D.; Nguyen, T.-L. N.; Dyckman, D.; Dulay, D.; Boyko, W. J.; Giuliano, R. M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 273–283.
- 3. Lipták, A.; Jánossy, L.; Borbás, A.; Szejtli, J. Carbohydr. Res. 2002, 337, 93–96.
- 4. Lappe, P.; Schmid, K.; Soellner, R.; Springer, H. 2003, EP1316554; Chem. Abstr. 2003, 139, 6877.
- 5. Newman, C. P.; Rossiter, K. J.; Sell, C. S. 1988, EP0276998. Chem. Abstr. 1989, 110, P13401w.

- 6. Saniger, E.; Campos, J. M.; Entrena, A.; Marchal, J. A.; Boulaiz, H.; Aránega, A.; Gallo, M. A.; Espinosa, A. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 8017–8026.
- 7. Greene, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1999; pp 297–329.
- 8. Hanson, J. R. Protecting Groups in Organic Synthesis; Blackwell Science: Malden, 1999; pp 37–43.
- 9. Meskens, F. A. J. Synthesis 1981, 501–522.
- 10. Qi, J.-Y.; Ji, J.-X.; Yueng, C.-H.; Kwong, H.-L.; Chan, A. S. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 7719-7721, and references cited therein.
- 11. De, S. K.; Gibbs, R. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 8141– 8144, and references cited therein.
- 12. Smith, M. B.; March, J. March's Advanced Organic Chemistry; Wiley and Sons: New York, 2001.
- 13. Fujioka, H.; Okitsu, T.; Sawama, Y.; Murata, N.; Li, R.; Kita, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006, 128, 5930–5938.
- 14. Chang, B.-H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 492, 31–34.
- 15. Verlhac, J.-B.; Pereyre, M. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 6399– 6412.
- 16. Petrie, P. US 2,861,081, 1958 Chem. Abstr. 1959, 53, 9249c.
- 17. Urbala, M.; Kuźnik, N.; Krompiec, S.; Rzepa, J. Synlett 2004, 1203–1206.
- 18. Krompiec, S.; Kuźnik, N.; Krompiec, M.; Penczek, R.; Mrzigod, J.; Tórz, A. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2006, 253, 132–146.
- 19. Krompiec, S.; Kuźnik, N.; Urbala, M.; Pigulla, M.; Rzepa, J. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2006, 248, 198–209.
- 20. van Otterlo, W. A. L.; Morgans, G. L.; Khanye, S. D.; Aderibigbe, B. A. A.; Michael, J. P.; Billing, D. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 9171–9175.
- 21. Standard reaction procedure: Allyl ether (or 2-vinyl-1,3 dioxane), ROH (alcohol or phenol for intermolecular reaction), $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3]$ and Na_2CO_3 (if applicable) in a glass screw-capped ampoule, purged with argon, and tightly capped were vigorously stirred and heated in an oil bath for a given period of time. Molar ratios of the

reaction mixture components and the temperature are shown in the Tables and Schemes. Acetals and orthoesters were separated by distillation. When ROH was a phenol, the excess was removed, before distillation, by extraction with 1 M NaOH.

- 22. Selected spectral data: 1-n-butoxy-1-phenoxypropane $bp = 96-97 \degree C$ (5 mmHg) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.85$ (t, $J = 7.3$, 3H, $-OCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 0.98 (t, $J = 7.5$, 3H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 1.26-1.32 (m, 2H, $-OCH_2CH_2$ -CH₂CH₃), 1.50–1.59 (m, 2H, –OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.83 $(\text{d}q\bar{d}, J=13.0, 7.5, 5.6, 1H, -CH^{\text{a}}\bar{H}^{\text{b}}\bar{CH}_3), 1.86$ (dqd, $J = 13.0, 7.5, 5.6, 1H, -CH^aH^bCH_3$, 3.49 (dt, $J = 9.4, 6.7$, 1H, $-OCH^a$ H^bCH₂CH₂CH₃), 3.71 (dt, $J = 9.4$, 6.7, 1H, $-OCH^aH^bCH_2CH_2CH_3$), 5.16 (t, J = 5.6, 1H, $-OCH(CH_2-P)$ CH₃)O–), 6.94–7.03 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.23–7.29 (m, 2H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.7$ (–CH₂CH₃), 13.7 $(-OCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3)$, 19.2 $(-OCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3)$, 26.9 $(-CH_2CH_3)$, 31.7 $(-OCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3)$, 65.6 $(-OCH_2$ - $CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 104.0 ($-OCH(CH_2CH_3)O$ –), 117.4 (C_{Ph}[2,3]), 121.7 ($C_{\text{Ph}}[6]$), 129.5 ($C_{\text{Ph}}[4,5]$), 157.4 ($C_{\text{Ph}}[1]$). GC–MS (EI, 40 eV), m/e (int $(\%)$): 208 (<1), 135 (48), 115 (54), 114 (58), 94 (80), 77 (26), 59 (100), 57 (68).
- 23. Selected spectral data: 2-n-butoxy-2-ethyl-1,2-dioxane $bp = 73-74 \degree C$ (5 mmHg). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.92$ (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 0.95 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H, $-OCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.29–1.33 (m, 1H, $-OCH_2$ - $CH^aH^bCH_2O-$, 1.71 (q, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH_2CH_3), 1.33– 1.43 (m, 2H, $-OCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.52–1.59 (m, 2H, $-OCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.65 (q, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, $-CH_2$ -CH₃), $1.90-2.04$ (m, 1H, $-OCH_2CH^aH^bCH_2O-$), 3.41 (t, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 2H, $-CCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 3.63–3.68 (m, 2H, $-OCH^aH^bCH_2CH_2^aH^bO-),$ 4.03–4.10 (m, 2H, $-OCH^aH^b$ - $CH_2CH^aH^bO^-$). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.0$ $(-OCH₂CH₃), 13.7 (-OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 19.4 (-OCH₂$ $CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 24.2 (– CH_2CH_3), 28.5 (– $OCH_2CH_2CH_2O$ –), 31.7 ($-OCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 59.2 ($-OCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 61.7 $(-OCH_2CH_2CH_2O-), 112.4$ (C). GC–MS (EI, 40 eV), m/e (int (%)): 187 (<1), 159 (4), 115 (100), 103 (42), 75 (22), 57 (64).